

Ethics education for high schools Curriculum

Introduction

ur core mission is to support students to develop skills in **critical thinking**, **ethical reasoning** and **collaborative inquiry**— skills essential for students navigating our complex, pressurised world. We equip teachers to deliver lessons which explore a range of ethical issues through scripted materials that are relevant, challenging and age-appropriate. Our ethics program encourages students to both **think for themselves** and **think with others** through discussion.

Our lessons provide a unique opportunity for students to think about and articulate their own views while considering their classmates' diverse range of viewpoints on a set of ethical issues. No particular stance on any topic is promoted. Students are not told what to think or what the right thing to do is in any situation; they are not expected to converge on a single view.

Carefully planned lessons

Leading students through a carefully planned sequence of questions on an issue means they are guaranteed to consider a range of perspectives and possible counter-arguments and to assess many of the philosophically relevant aspects. This way, they are not subject to the (very likely unconscious) philosophical biases of an individual teacher.

THINK-REASON-DISCUSS Primar



For more information, contact Primary Ethics Director of Education, Jarrah Aubourg W: www.primaryethics.com.au E: helpdesk@primaryethics.com.au T: +61 2 8068 7752

Creating a community of inquiry



ur distinctive teaching method focuses on producing deep understanding of important concepts, skills and ideas. Our ethics teachers help students form a **community of inquiry**. In this classroom community, students and their teacher work together to critically explore an everyday ethical issue, build on one another's ideas and develop well-reasoned responses. Our teachers facilitate discussions using our highly detailed and

expertly constructed scripts and asking procedural questions — for example, why do you think that, does anyone agree but for a different reason, what might someone who disagrees say?

This teaching method is based on established educational and philosophical principles and the work of experts in philosophy for children and educational psychology and theory (notably, Matthew Lipman, Anne Margaret Sharp and Lev Vygotsky).

Our lesson materials provide...

- stories and scenarios with ethical issues in a range of topics
- questions with no easy/straightforward answer
- a balance of philosophical perspectives

in combination with our ethics teachers who...

- bring the stories and scenarios to life and create a sense of curiosity about the questions they pose
- remain neutral about the ethical dilemmas, which encourages diversity of thinking
- facilitate respectful discussion and model the inquiry process

... which prompts students to

- express their own views and reasons for holding them
- consider and engage with a range of views
- judge whether the reasons they and others express are relevant, logical, supported by evidence
- think about important ethical concepts, including intentions, circumstances, consequences and what contributes to good character
- empathise with people in different situations

... which helps students develop skills in

- collaborative inquiry
- critical thinking
- ethical reasoning.

Over 20 topics on a range of issues



ur curriculum is designed by philosophers and educators, experts in their fields. It includes 20 topics, sequenced in two sets for Years 7 and 8. Every topic has been reviewed by the New South Wales Department of Education for age-appropriateness and regularly reviewed by Primary Ethics, taking teacher and student feedback into account to ensure the high quality and relevance of our lesson materials. Each topic includes around 90 minutes of learning, designed to be taught across multiple lessons (lesson duration can be flexible to cater to the needs of individual schools).

Each topic poses one or more big questions, such as:

- when is it important to apologise? What makes a good apology?
- how much control should teenagers have over their own lives?
- what responsibilities do we have to protect the environment?

These questions are introduced via stories and scenarios designed to grab student attention, provide important background information (lessons don't assume existing content knowledge) and

prompt students to consider the complexity of situations. The objective is for students to contemplate and discuss the issues, forming their own reasoned view. Students might come to change their mind on some issues or they might become more confident in their original view after carefully weighing the different arguments.

We train ethics teachers to use a range of facilitation skills, including how to be neutral and curious, and aid the process of reasoning and discussion by asking procedural questions, such as:

- why do you think that?
- can anyone build on that idea?
- suppose someone disagreed what might their reasons be?

By asking such questions, teachers ensure students always provide reasons for their views and encourage students to consider and respond to one another's views. This approach plays a pivotal role in nurturing a vibrant community of inquiry.

Links to valuable student outcomes

Teachers report that students become more likely to give their reasoning, consider counter arguments and make links between views, all without being prompted.

By prompting students to provide their reasons and consider other views, ethics teachers model the questions that good critical thinkers ask themselves. Over time, students come to internalise these questions and begin asking them of themselves and others. Teachers report that students become more likely to give their reasoning, consider counter arguments and make links between views, all without being prompted. The effectiveness of our approach is supported by research which demonstrates that regular ethical discussion can lead to significant gains in cognitive ability, reasoning skills, communication skills and empathy.

Our lesson materials are aligned with the Australian Curriculum, Assessment and Reporting Authority (ACARA) general capabilities, including:

- ethical understanding (eg. exploring ethical concepts, examining values, rights, responsibilities and ethical norms)
- **critical and creative thinking** (eg. inquiring, evaluating actions and outcomes, thinking about thinking)
- personal and social capability (eg. personal awareness, empathy, community awareness, collaboration).



Topics



ach year of our curriculum begins with an introductory topic that introduces students to the fundamental elements of ethical inquiry and the Primary Ethics guidelines for discussion. Topics are then delivered in a sequenced order, with ideas introduced and then returned to over the year.

End-of-term reflection activities give students the opportunity to connect ideas and consider their learning.

Here is a selection of our topics with their big questions.

You're not the boss of me

How much control should children and teenagers have over their own lives? What right do parents, adults and governments have to limit teenagers' freedoms? Why do we have age limits in Australia? Is it okay to stop people from doing things because they might get hurt?

Giving and accepting apologies

When is it important to apologise? What makes a good apology? Do you have to accept a good apology? Can individuals apologise on behalf of a group? Is it ever important for a group (like a class of students) to collectively apologise for the actions of one of its members?

Welcome to our robot overlords

Is it risky to create super-intelligent artificial intelligence? If so, should governments stop people and companies from experimenting with

artificial intelligence? If we create super-intelligent artificial intelligence, would it be wrong to make them do menial tasks or switch them off?

From moon trash to migrant horses

What does it mean to be a custodian of the land – and is it compatible with owning land? Is littering always wrong – what about leaving rubbish on the moon? Should we always try to remove introduced species, such as wild horses?

Lizard people and fake news

How important is it to think carefully about what we believe? Why do so many people believe in conspiracy theories? What, if anything, is wrong with believing in conspiracy theories? Why might politicians spread fake news? Does fake news undermine democracy? Do media organisations and other groups have a responsibility to combat fake news?

Lesson excerpt -You're not the boss of me

Topic objectives (background information for teachers)

In this topic students consider and discuss how adults sometimes limit children and teenagers' freedoms.

Students will think critically and for themselves about:

• how children and teenagers have less freedom than adults in certain ways

- who should have authority over what children and teenagers can and can't do
- why governments limit the freedoms of citizens
- what ways governments restrict people's freedoms for their own good and whether that's okay.

Part 2: Who can restrict teenagers' freedom? (lesson script for teachers)

Limiting screen time (10 minutes)

Thumbs up or down – Do you have limits at home on how much time you can spend doing certain things?

We're going to be thinking specifically about screen time.

Are there rules in your house about how much time you can spend on screens? *Take two or three* responses, keeping them short. Try to hear a variety of rules, asking 'Does anyone have a different rule' if needed.

In 2021, a country introduced a new rule about how long teenagers and children can play video games. In the new rule, people under 18 can only play for one hour between 8 and 9 pm, on Fridays, Saturdays and Sundays – meaning they can play a maximum of three hours a week.

Use procedural questions to facilitate a discussion around the numbered substantive questions below, remembering to anchor, ask for reasons, open to others and encourage different opinions, when needed.

1. Is that a good rule?

If students argue that too much screen time isn't bad for you, say: Let's suppose for the sake of discussion that the government of this country is right – that limiting time on video games is good for you. Would it be a good rule if that was true?

2. Is it fair that the rule only applies to under-18s?

Ask if students haven't raised these points:

- The government said it was to protect the physical and mental health of under-18s. Does that make a difference to whether it's fair to treat under-18s and adults differently?
- Is too much time playing video games bad for adults as well?
- 3. Who should decide how much time you can spend playing video games your family, the government ... or you?

